42nd WEDC International Conference ONLINE: 13 – 15 September, 2021

EQUITABLE AND SUSTAINABLE WASH SERVICES: FUTURE CHALLENGES IN A RAPIDLY CHANGING WORLD

Drivers of Menstrual Material Disposal and Washing Practices: Why we cannot ignore individual's behaviour when making engineering decisions

H.J.Robinson and D.J.Barrington

United Kingdom

[ABSTRACT REFERENCE NO. 1234 – OFFICE USE ONLY]

Drivers of Menstrual Material Disposal and Washing Practices: Why we cannot ignore individual's behaviour when making engineering decisions

Introduction:

Menstrual health is a vital part of water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH), and global public health more widely. However, most research and practice to date has focused on the provision of menstrual materials, not their disposal or cleaning after use (e.g. Hennegan and Montgomery, 2016). This research is the first which sought to identify the reasoning behind menstruators' management practises by systematically reviewing the behavioural drivers of menstrual washing and disposal practises. We demonstrate here how technology, society and behaviour are all interlinked and how they must be considered together when making engineering decisions related to menstrual health.

Method:

A systematic search of peer-reviewed literature (Scopus, Web of Science, EBSCO, and Proquest Dissertation and Theses), was conducted in June 2019, yielding 14,198 publications after duplicates were removed. After screening the publications against criteria 1 (publication is predominantly about menstrual health) and criteria 2 (publication was published post-1999; available in English; discusses menstrual material usage, disposal, washing or reuse and the given reasons for the practises; discusses said behaviours post-1999), 82 publications (80 studies) were included in the review. The study did not have geographical or economic restrictions, therefore the research was spread across high, upper-middle, lower-middle, and lower incomes; resulting in data across 27 different countries.

Thematic content analysis was undertaken using NVivo 12 (QSR International Pty Ltd, 2018). Examples of menstrual disposal, washing and reuse practises were deductively coded for in the literature, then axial inductive coding was used to identify the drivers behind practises.

Results:

Deductive coding revealed 56 studies detailing the disposal methods of menstruators, and 47 studies detailing their washing, drying and reuse practises. Inductive codes for behavioural drivers were coded into three main themes.

Firstly, the state of available infrastructure, further categorised into physical infrastructure including the quantity and design of toilets, and the availability of soap and water and/or a disposal facility (52 studies); and social perceptions relating to privacy, safety, cleanliness, maintenance, time to use the facilities, and the availability of gender segregated toilets (42 studies). Secondly, lack of knowledge, typically referring to instances of menstruators explicitly having no, or limited, knowledge of how to dispose or wash used menstrual products (14 studies). Thirdly, menstrual taboos and social stigma, further categorized into cultural beliefs (28 studies), embarrassment and worry (35 studies), and fear (13 studies), each having different effects on individuals, but typically lead to behaviours associated with hiding or obscuring used menstrual materials.

Discussion and Conclusion:

The systematic review highlighted how complex behavioural factors are interrelated, evidenced by the three main categories of behavioural drivers being linked; each one affecting a menstruators choice of washing or disposal method, but also impacting on one another. Without appropriate facilities, menstruators have nowhere to place used products, or wash reusable materials. Without knowledge, menstruators do not know how they should manage menstruation or what their options are. Whilst taboos and stigma are prevalent, menstruators' behaviour will often be driven by negative emotions such as embarrassment and fear. Several studies noted having at least two of these drivers interacting, at times three, for example, where menstruators threw used materials behind schools into bushes or onto the beach as there were no facilities and they were uncomfortable asking about alternative disposal mechanisms (Behera et al., 2015; Rheinländer et al., 2019).

Moving forward, we as WASH practitioners, need to understand how individual behaviour is affected and shaped by society, and the impact it has on using WASH infrastructure. For example, despite a functional incinerator being installed on school premises, Crofts and Fisher demonstrated that without mechanisms to transfer used material between the toilet blocks and the incinerator in a way which addressed menstruators' concerns with the embarrassment of being seen, menstrual disposal remained an issue (2012). This theme of accessible facilities that are cultural inappropriate was a common finding of our study (e.g. Kohler et al., 2019; Ndlovu and Bhala, 2016).

When designing WASH facilities, technical functionality is important, but it cannot be considered in isolation without understanding how behaviour interfaces with infrastructure, knowledge and taboos.

References:

Behera, D., Sivakami, M. and Behera, M.R. 2015. Menarche and Menstruation in Rural Adolescent Girls in Maharashtra, India: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Health Management*. **17**(4), pp.510-519.

Crofts, T. and Fisher, J. 2012. Menstrual hygiene in Ugandan schools: An investigation of low-cost sanitary pads. *Journal of Water Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*. **2**(1), pp.50-58.

Hennegan, J. and Montgomery, P. 2016. Do menstrual hygiene management interventions improve education and psychosocial outcomes for women and girls in low and middle income countries? A systematic review. *PLOS ONE*. **11**(2).

Kohler, P., Renggli, S. and Lüthi, C. 2019. WASH and gender in health care facilities: The uncharted territory. *Health Care for Women International*. **40**(1), pp.3-12.

Ndlovu, E. and Bhala, E. 2016. Menstrual hygiene - A salient hazard in rural schools: A case of Masvingo district of Zimbabwe. *Jamba: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*. **8**(2), pp.1-8.

QSR International Pty Ltd. 2018. NVivo qualitative data analysis software Version 12. Chadstone: QSR International Pty Ltd.

Rheinländer, T., Gyapong, M., Akpakli, D.E. and Konradsen, F. 2019. Secrets, shame and discipline: School girls' experiences of sanitation and menstrual hygiene management in a peri-urban community in Ghana. *Health Care for Women International.* **40**(1), pp.13-32.

Contact details:

About the Author: Hannah Jayne Robinson is a 3rd year PhD Student at the University of Leeds, and part of the Water-WISER Centre for Doctoral Training. This research was influenced by work completed for her BEng degree in Civil and Environmental Engineering, and is currently under review for publication.

Principal Author: Hannah Jayne Robinson; University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, LS2 9JT, West Yorkshire, Leeds, United Kingdom; cn16hjr@leeds.ac.uk

Second Author: Dani Jennifer Barrington; The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley 6008, Western Australia, Australia; dani.barrington@uwa.edu.au