Facilitator notes:
Accessibility audit of water & sanitation facilities

Purpose: To evaluate the level of accessibility of an existing water and/or sanitation facility, and to identify possible changes or improvements.

Diversity message: Simple changes to facilities can improve their usability for a wide range of users, thus avoiding the need for separate ‘special’ provision.

Engineering message: Users can provide information to technicians on whether a facility performs its intended function, for the intended users. This can contribute to design improvements.

Materials needed: Accessibility audit of latrines/ school latrine/ water point; resources about inclusive design, standards and approaches (see Resources list below).

Time needed: 3 – 5 hours.

Activity

1. Decide which type of facility you wish to audit – a latrine, school latrine or a water point, and download the relevant Accessibility Audit framework (see Resources list).

2. Select your team. Accessibility Audits are most effective when carried out as a team involving users – disabled people, older people, women, or children as appropriate.

3. Allocate suitable tasks to each team member, including note taker, measurer, photographer, interviewer, etc.

4. Starting with Section XX, ask team members firstly to get to (walk or wheel to) the facility, identifying any problems or obstacles that prevent them or make it difficult. Write these down, take photographs and draw diagram to illustrate.
   (You may also use the checklist of questions in each section to guide you.)

5. Having identified the problems, now identify what could be done to eliminate or reduce the problems and write these down.
   (See the list of Additional resources with information about practical solutions).

6. Go through each section of the audit, filling in the relevant sections as you go round.

Hint: When identifying problems of physical access, avoid using vague words like ‘inaccessible’, ‘not user-friendly’ or ‘not disabled-friendly’. Be as specific as possible in your description, e.g.:

   - Door is too narrow, path is uneven, pump handle is too high, ...

The more specific your description of the problems, the easier it becomes to identify solutions.
Adapting the assignment

Encourage learners to think creatively about how to make the best use of this assignment. It could be carried out:

- in their office, in the office of a partner organisation, government office, or at community level;
- with colleagues – in pairs or as a team,
- with a group of community members, e.g. women’s group or village committee;
- with a group of users who experience difficulty using water or sanitation facilities, e.g. a disabled people’s organisation.

If the audit will be carried out at community level, consider who will be part of the team.

Accessibility audit of household facilities

If the facilities being audited are for household use, identification of problems and solutions should focus primarily on those identified by the intended users, i.e. family members. Family members should therefore be actively part of the team as far as possible.

For example, if there is a pregnant woman and an elderly grandfather in the household, identifying any difficulties they currently experience (and are likely to encounter in the near future) should be the main focus. It is not necessary to consider access for wheelchair users in this scenario.

Accessibility audit of institutional facilities

(For example, latrines at a school or health clinic, communal handpump, etc.)

If the facilities being audited are for communal use, i.e. for a wide range of possible users, identification of problems and solutions should be as broad as possible. Users from the local community should therefore be actively part of the team as far as possible, but in addition, use the checklist to identify problems that other users might face who are not currently present.

For example, you may have a wheelchair user and a blind person as part of your team, but don’t forget to also think about the difficulties of other users – frail older people, or mothers with small children for example.
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Accessibility audit of an institutional latrine in Ethiopia

Mixed or homogenous teams?

You may decide that women feel more comfortable in a women-only team, or disabled people prefer to be with other disabled people, older people prefer to be in a group with other older people and so on. Make sure that afterwards the ideas are shared between groups.

Discussion points

- Are the suggested solutions realistic?
- Can you group solutions into short-term (immediately doable), medium term (require some planning) and long-term (require consultation, planning and resources)?
- Which aspects of the audit worked well, and which did not work so well?
- Could this Accessibility Audit be used or adapted for use in the future?

Further information

For more Equity and Inclusion learning materials, go to
<http://www.inclusivewash.org.au/>

or
<http://WEDC-Knowledge.Lboro.ac.uk/collections/equity-inclusion>

For further advice or support, please contact:
WEDC (contacts on the right)
or
Shamilia Jansz, WaterAid
shamilajansz@wateraid.org
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Version francaise: L’Alimentation en Eau et les Installations Sanitaires pour les Personnes Handicapées et autres groupes vulnérables. WEDC, Loughborough University: UK.